UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DENNIS PAIGE,

Plaintiff,

v.

SERGEANT TODD MOSKALIK-D11-1778, DETECTIVE DENNIS DOHERTY, DETECTIVE GERMAN LOPEZ, POLICE OFFICER DAVID CARDENAS,

Defendants.

LLY FILED
7/8/11

07 Civ. 7381 (RO)

ORDER

OWEN, District Judge:

Pro se Plaintiff brought this action on August 20, 2007, against two unknown officers from the White Plains Police Department under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff subsequently filed an Amended Complaint, substituting Sergeant Todd Moskalik and Detective Dennis Doherty for two of the John Doe officers, while adding Detective German Lopez and Police Officer David Cardenas. Plaintiff alleges that on or about September 5, 2005, he was arrested by the named officers falsely, and that the named officers conspired to do so. Plaintiff also alleges that he was maliciously prosecuted up until the criminal charge against him was dismissed on December 21, 2005. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant Sergeant Moskalik defamed Plaintiff by speaking of the arrest to the media. Defendants have moved for summary judgment, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56.

Case 1:07-cv-07381-RO-GAY Document 32 Filed 04/08/11 Page 2 of 2

Magistrate Judge George Yanthis issued a Report and Recommendation (the "Report")

on April 28, 2010. Plaintiff did not file objections to the Report. On August 2, 2010, this case

was transferred to this Court.

United States Magistrate Judges hear dispositive motions and make proposed findings of

fact and recommendations, generally in the form of a Report and Recommendation. District

courts review those orders under a clearly erroneous or contrary to law standard of review. 28

U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). In the event that a party files objections to the magistrate judge's

recommendations, district courts conduct a de novo review of those matters to which a party filed

an objection. Id. § 636(b)(1)(B), (C). First Union Mortgage Corp., v. Smith, 229 F.3d 992, 995

(10th Cir. 2000). Where no timely objection has been made by either party, a district court need

only find that "there is no clear error on the face of the record" in order to accept the Report and

Recommendation. Nelson v. Smith, 618 F. Supp. 1186, 1189 (S.D.N.Y. 1985) (citations

omitted).

This Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Yanthis

and finds that it is well-reasoned and supported by law. Accordingly, this Court adopts it in its

entirety. Defendants' motion for summary judgment is hereby GRANTED and Plaintiff's claims

are DISMISSED in their entirety.

SO ORDERED.

RICHARD OWEN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2